Page: Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

Michigan Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (2)

		Com	parison of Ra	acial and Eth	nic Distributi	ion		
Local Agency	Number Included in Analysis (3)	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaska Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	Multiple Races	All Other / Unknown %
01DHD#2	1,529	90.5	0.8	3.8	0.1	0.1	4.6	0.1
02LMAS	907	67.1	0.2	1.8	2.5	0.3	28.0	0.0
04DHD#4	1,833	89.0	0.1	2.0	0.1	0.2	8.5	0.1
05NWMCHA	2,815	89.9	0.4	2.7	0.7	0.3	6.0	0.0
06CMDHD	4,946	90.6	1.3	2.9	0.4	0.4	4.5	0.0
07West UP	1,496	91.7	0.3	1.5	0.2	1.5	4.8	0.0
08Barry	3,040	86.1	2.5	6.2	0.0	0.4	4.7	0.1
09Bay	2,724	71.0	1.5	16.0	0.1	0.0	11.4	0.0
10Gr Trav	1,937	86.6	0.6	6.5	0.2	0.2	6.0	0.0
11Berrien	4,025	45.0	40.4	5.9	0.1	0.8	7.8	0.0
12BHS	5,216	77.4	1.7	16.2	0.0	0.2	4.4	0.1
13Calhoun	4,387	55.7	17.9	10.7	0.1	2.2	13.3	0.1
14Leelanau	757	68.2	0.3	19.9	3.7	0.1	7.3	0.5
15Intercar	13,682	57.6	3.4	32.7	0.1	1.0	4.9	0.2
17Chippewa	1,120	46.7	0.6	3.1	18.5	1.2	29.9	0.0
19Mid-Mich	3,896	83.9	0.6	11.4	0.2	0.2	3.6	0.1
21Delta	1,398	85.6	0.1	2.4	0.8	0.0	11.1	0.0
22Iron	969	88.4	0.2	3.4	0.1	0.2	7.5	0.1
25Genesee	10,927	49.6	40.7	4.0	0.1	0.2	5.4	0.0

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.(2) Analyses based on one record per child.



⁽³⁾ Excludes records with errors.

Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

Michigan Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (2)

		Com	parison of Ra	acial and Eth	nic Distributi	on		
Local Agency	Number Included in Analysis (3)	White, Not Hispanic %	Black, Not Hispanic %	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaska Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	Multiple Races	All Other / Unknown %
	r manyene (e)	%	%	70	70	%	70	70
30HDI	2,317	9.6	51.9	34.7	0.0	0.5	3.3	0.0
32Huron	850	90.0	0.4	6.0	0.2	0.4	3.1	0.0
33Ingham	6,694	37.0	25.8	21.2	0.0	3.7	12.2	0.0
35Keweenaw	254	3.1	0.0	3.9	22.4	0.0	70.5	0.0
37Ionia	1,553	82.4	0.3	13.0	0.1	0.1	4.1	0.0
38Jackson	4,741	64.6	12.0	9.3	0.1	0.4	13.6	0.0
39Kalamazo	3,015	58.2	16.0	10.5	0.0	1.2	14.0	0.0
40Kal Fam	3,427	28.0	43.1	14.8	0.1	0.7	13.2	0.0
41Kent	19,184	34.7	19.2	35.8	35.8 0.1		8.5	0.0
43DHD#10	8,198	76.2	1.3	16.0	0.1	0.2	6.2	0.1
44Lapeer	1,829	83.7	0.6	7.7	0.0	0.1	7.9	0.0
45Tuscola	1,520	87.9	0.9	7.2	0.1	0.2	3.7	0.0
46CAA	2,114	67.9	0.7	24.1	0.0	0.1	7.1	0.0
47Livingst	1,771	90.9	0.7	4.5	0.1	0.8	3.0	0.0
50Macomb	9,003	57.8	23.5	4.1	0.0	4.2	10.3	0.0
51DCS	3,052	66.2	8.5	14.9	0.1	0.8	9.4	0.1
52Marquett	1,245	83.4	1.0	3.2	0.3	0.1	12.0	0.0
56MMCAA	1,790	91.2	1.0	1.7	0.0	0.6	5.5	0.0
58Monroe	3,155	79.0	4.4	7.9	0.0	0.4	8.0	0.2

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.(2) Analyses based on one record per child.



⁽³⁾ Excludes records with errors.

Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4F **2010 Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance** (1) Michigan Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by Local Agency

Children Aged < 5 Years (2)

Page: Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

		Com	parison of Ra	acial and Eth	nic Distributi	on		
Local Agency	Number Included in	White, Not Hispanic	Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	All Other / Unknown
	Analysis (3)	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
61Muskegon	6,446	52.1	24.3	12.8	0.1	0.2	10.4	0.0
63Oakland	14,985	47.7	29.5	14.7	0.1	2.2	5.9	0.0
73Saginaw	4,356	47.9	26.4	18.0	0.0	0.4	7.1	0.0
74St Clair	3,619	75.2	4.6	8.0	0.1	0.2	11.9	0.0
76Sanilac	1,070	87.7	0.0	8.0	0.1	0.1	4.1	0.0
78Shiawass	1,718	87.1	0.3	5.9	0.0	0.1	6.4	0.1
81Washtena	5,151	34.6	38.0	11.7	0.5	3.5	11.8	0.0
82Wayne	14,492	63.8	20.3	5.8	0.1	3.7	6.2	0.0
89Urban L	10,322	18.5	61.5	12.4	0.1	4.3	3.1	0.0
90Detroit	29,618	9.3	71.3	16.0	0.0	1.4	1.9	0.0
Unknown Local Ag.	207	33.8	23.7	10.6	4.3	3.4	24.2	0.0
Michigan	235,300	51.6	25.2	14.4	0.2	1.5	7.0	0.0
Nation	8,938,527	31.8	18.7	40.6	0.9	2.6	2.5	3.0
(Prior Year)								



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.(2) Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with errors. Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Michigan

Comparison of Age Distribution by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (2)

	Cor	nparison of Age Dist	tribution	
Local Agency	Number Included in Analysis	0 - 11 Months %	12 - 23 Months %	24 - 59 Months %
	(3,4)		1	
01DHD#2	1,529	33.5	19.8	46.8
02LMAS	907	27.9	21.8	50.3
04DHD#4	1,833	30.6	18.8	50.6
05NWMCHA	2,815	31.3	19.1	49.6
06CMDHD	4,946	27.8	23.1	49.0
07West UP	1,496	31.4	18.6	50.0
08Barry	3,040	29.9	22.4	47.7
09Bay	2,724	31.6	21.4	47.0
10Gr Trav	1,937	29.7	21.5	48.8
11Berrien	4,025	30.2	23.2	46.7
12BHS	5,216	30.7	20.3	48.9
13Calhoun	4,387	31.5	21.0	47.5
14Leelanau	757	28.3	21.3	50.5
15Intercar	13,682	26.0	23.1	51.0
17Chippewa	1,120	30.4	21.6	47.9
19Mid-Mich	3,896	31.9	20.6	47.5
21Delta	1,398	31.8	20.7	47.5
22Iron	969	27.5	23.8	48.7

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date:

⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.(3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Records rejected if date of birth and date of visit unknown.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Comparison of Age Distribution by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (2)

Page: 5 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

	Cor	nparison of Age Dist	tribution	
Local Agency	Number Included in Analysis (3,4)	0 - 11 Months %	12 - 23 Months %	24 - 59 Months %
25Genesee	10,927	36.7	20.6	42.7
30HDI	2,317	26.5	20.3	53.2
32Huron	850	36.1	17.6	46.2
33Ingham	6,694	33.1	21.7	45.2
35Keweenaw	254	25.6	20.1	54.3
37Ionia	1,553	26.4	24.1	49.5
38Jackson	4,741	30.0	22.4	47.6
39Kalamazo	3,015	31.7	21.2	47.0
40Kal Fam	3,427	32.0	19.0	49.0
41Kent	19,184	30.4	21.0	48.6
43DHD#10	8,198	27.6	22.7	49.7
44Lapeer	1,829	30.7	23.9	45.4
45Tuscola	1,520	27.2	21.9	50.9
46CAA	2,114	35.5	21.8	42.7
47Livingst	1,771	28.2	26.2	45.6
50Macomb	9,003	35.6	25.1	39.3
51DCS	3,052	33.9	24.0	42.0
52Marquett	1,245	30.4	24.4	45.2

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Records rejected if date of birth and date of visit unknown.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Comparison of Age Distribution by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (2)

Comparison of Age Distribution Local Agency Number 0 - 11 Months 12 - 23 Months 24 - 59 Months Included in Analysis % % % (3,4)56MMCAA 1.790 27.7 24.5 47.8 58Monroe 3,155 33.8 22.3 43.9 61Muskegon 22.4 6,446 29.1 48.5 63Oakland 14,985 37.5 19.9 42.6 73Saginaw 32.4 20.2 47.4 4,356 74St Clair 3,619 31.1 22.9 46.1 76Sanilac 1,070 33.0 22.1 45.0 78Shiawass 1,718 24.9 23.1 52.0 81Washtena 21.2 44.1 5,151 34.8 82Wayne 14.492 38.1 24.8 37.1 89Urban L 10,322 19.8 45.8 34.4 90Detroit 29,618 35.0 20.4 44.5 Unknown Local Ag. 207 18.4 28.0 53.6 Michigan 235,300 32.5 21.7 45.9 Nation 8,938,527 34.5 22.6 42.9 (Prior Year)



Page:

Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Records rejected if date of birth and date of visit unknown.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 7 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

			Comparis	on of G	rowth ar	nd Anen	nia Indi	cators		(3,4)		
		Birthweight	(5)			He	eight and V	Veight				
		Low	High	Short Under- Obese > 2 Yrs Stature weight Over- weight (7)								nemia Hb/Hct (8)
Local Agency	Number	% <2500 g (Rank)	% >4000 g (Rank)	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
01DHD#2	261	5.0 (4)	12.6 (46)	1,516	6.0 (42)	3.9 (32)	14.2 (40)	705	18.4 (42)	16.3 (46)	884	12.2 (31)
02LMAS	159	8.8 (34)	10.1 (44)	888	2.9 (1)	5.2 (45)	11.9 (18)	435	18.2 (38)	15.4 (40)	548	6.6 (12)
04DHD#4	322	6.5 (11)	9.0 (36)	1,814	3.9 (6)	3.1 (16)	12.8 (26)	918	14.6 (5)	16.0 (42)	986	6.6 (12)
05NWMCHA	462	6.9 (14)	8.9 (32)	2,767	4.8 (18)	3.5 (23)	12.8 (26)	1,376	17.5 (31)	13.2 (16)	1,674	5.6 (6)
06CMDHD	847	8.0 (25)	9.3 (38)	4,866	6.0 (42)	2.9 (14)	12.1 (22)	2,374	17.1 (26)	14.6 (35)	2,978	9.2 (19)
07West UP	259	8.1 (26)	8.9 (32)	1,483	5.8 (39)	2.0 (3)	11.3 (13)	748	16.7 (22)	11.6 (6)	780	5.4 (5)
08Barry	541	4.8 (3)	7.8 (23)	2,977	4.3 (10)	3.7 (28)	11.1 (11)	1,431	18.2 (38)	12.5 (12)	1,726	5.9 (7)
09Bay	513	7.8 (23)	8.8 (29)	2,698	5.5 (33)	2.7 (9)	11.8 (16)	1,250	16.6 (20)	13.8 (23)	1,639	15.3 (37)
10Gr Trav	376	6.4 (10)	8.8 (29)	1,899	5.2 (28)	6.6 (47)	10.5 (5)	931	17.1 (26)	11.8 (9)	1,088	11.0 (27)
11Berrien	790	9.1 (35)	8.0 (24)	3,962	5.5 (33)	1.8 (1)	12.8 (26)	1,848	17.5 (31)	13.8 (23)	2,377	10.6 (25)
12BHS	872	7.5 (18)	9.3 (38)	5,157	6.0 (42)	3.2 (20)	13.8 (38)	2,531	19.1 (45)	14.6 (35)	3,121	6.4 (8)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (4) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (5) Infants born during the reporting period included in the analysis.
- (6) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for length-for-age for children under 2 years of age and height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.
- (7) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for weight-for-length for children under 2 years of age and BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th < 95th percentile category identifies overweight children and >= 95th percentile category identifies obese children.
- (8) Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.
- * Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 8 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

			Comparis	on of G	rowth ar	nd Aner	nia Indi	cators		(3,4)		
		Birthweight	(5)			Не	eight and V	Veight				
		Low	High	Short Under- Obese > 2 Yrs Stature weight Over- weight (7)								nemia Hb/Hct (8)
Local Agency	Number	% <2500 g (Rank)	% >4000 g (Rank)	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
13Calhoun	801	7.2 (15)	7.2 (16)	4,328	5.6 (35)	2.7 (9)	15.8 (45)	2,046	18.3 (40)	15.0 (37)	2,599	16.2 (40)
14Leelanau	126	8.7 (33)	16.7 (47)	734	4.9 (22)	5.0 (41)	14.7 (43)	369	17.3 (29)	13.3 (19)	469	6.6 (12)
15Intercar	2,202	7.3 (17)	8.7 (27)	13,453	3.9 (6)	3.3 (22)	12.8 (26)	6,856	16.3 (16)	13.4 (21)	8,473	23.0 (47)
17Chippewa	182	3.3 (1)	11.5 (45)	1,109	4.6 (15)	4.0 (36)	14.9 (44)	525	16.6 (20)	16.0 (42)	685	6.6 (12)
19Mid-Mich	649	7.6 (20)	7.2 (16)	3,833	6.0 (42)	3.2 (20)	13.1 (31)	1,805	14.6 (5)	14.3 (28)	2,319	4.8 (4)
21Delta	203	5.4 (6)	9.9 (43)	1,387	5.0 (24)	2.7 (9)	12.0 (19)	653	16.8 (24)	14.4 (31)	865	2.8 (2)
22Iron	167	6.0 (7)	8.4 (25)	960	4.4 (12)	2.4 (6)	14.2 (40)	471	17.4 (30)	16.8 (47)	589	6.5 (11)
25Genesee	2,202	10.4 (43)	4.9 (6)	10,733	5.0 (24)	3.7 (28)	13.2 (32)	4,595	15.7 (13)	13.2 (16)	6,306	15.7 (38)
30HDI	341	7.6 (20)	3.8 (1)	2,260	5.2 (28)	1.9 (2)	17.4 (47)	1,202	20.5 (47)	16.2 (45)	1,446	9.5 (23)
32Huron	175	9.7 (41)	9.7 (42)	838	4.5 (13)	4.4 (38)	13.2 (32)	386	15.5 (11)	12.2 (10)	416	13.5 (35)
33Ingham	1,273	8.1 (26)	7.3 (18)	6,611	4.7 (17)	3.9 (32)	13.7 (37)	2,976	16.1 (15)	14.4 (31)	4,017	11.3 (28)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (4) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (5) Infants born during the reporting period included in the analysis.
- (6) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for length-for-age for children under 2 years of age and height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.
- (7) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for weight-for-length for children under 2 years of age and BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th < 95th percentile category identifies overweight children and >= 95th percentile category identifies obese children.
- (8) Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.
- * Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 9 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

			Comparis	on of G	rowth ar	nd Aner	nia Indi	cators		(3,4)		
		Birthweight	(5)			He	eight and V	Veight				
		Low	High	Short Under- Obese > 2 Yrs Stature weight Over- (6) (7) (7)								emia Hb/Hct (8)
Local Agency	Number	% <2500 g (Rank)	% >4000 g (Rank)	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% <u>></u> 95th (Rank)	Number	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% _>95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
35Keweenaw	40	* *	* *	250	5.2 (28)	3.6 (26)	25.6 (48)	139	11.5 (2)	25.9 (48)	149	12.1 (30)
37Ionia	290	5.2 (5)	6.2 (9)	1,532	5.2 (28)	3.7 (28)	10.2 (3)	755	24.0 (48)	10.5 (4)	1,014	9.4 (21)
38Jackson	872	8.6 (32)	8.9 (32)	4,616	4.3 (10)	5.1 (43)	10.8 (8)	2,176	17.1 (26)	14.3 (28)	1,938	10.9 (26)
39Kalamazo	583	7.7 (22)	8.7 (27)	2,982	3.8 (4)	5.1 (43)	12.0 (19)	1,409	18.0 (37)	13.0 (15)	1,768	20.2 (43)
40Kal Fam	592	12.2 (46)	4.1 (2)	3,364	4.8 (18)	4.6 (39)	14.0 (39)	1,637	16.0 (14)	15.0 (37)	2,087	31.9 (48)
41Kent	3,178	8.2 (29)	7.5 (20)	18,933	5.8 (39)	2.3 (4)	12.9 (30)	9,175	16.5 (18)	13.8 (23)	11,681	13.0 (34)
43DHD#10	1,348	7.5 (18)	9.6 (41)	8,036	5.2 (28)	2.7 (9)	12.6 (23)	4,001	19.5 (46)	14.5 (33)	5,008	13.7 (36)
44Lapeer	334	7.8 (23)	9.0 (36)	1,804	5.6 (35)	3.9 (32)	13.2 (32)	823	17.9 (35)	15.7 (41)	1,111	9.2 (19)
45Tuscola	257	4.7 (2)	7.0 (13)	1,507	5.0 (24)	3.1 (16)	8.6 (2)	751	13.7 (4)	9.5 (2)	976	9.4 (21)
46CAA	406	8.1 (26)	6.2 (9)	2,080	4.6 (15)	3.8 (31)	12.6 (23)	897	17.7 (33)	13.9 (26)	1,036	7.0 (16)
47Livingst	385	6.0 (7)	9.4 (40)	1,758	4.2 (8)	3.1 (16)	10.6 (6)	802	18.8 (44)	15.1 (39)	1,063	6.4 (8)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (4) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (5) Infants born during the reporting period included in the analysis.
- (6) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for length-for-age for children under 2 years of age and height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.
- (7) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for weight-for-length for children under 2 years of age and BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th < 95th percentile category identifies overweight children and >= 95th percentile category identifies obese children.
- (8) Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.
- * Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 10 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

		(Comparis	on of G	rowth ar	nd Aner	nia Indi	cators		(3,4)		
		Birthweight	(5)			Не	eight and V	Veight				
		Low	High	Short Under- Obese ≥ 2 Yrs Stature weight Over- weight (7)								nemia Hb/Hct (8)
Local Agency	Number	% <2500 g (Rank)	% >4000 g (Rank)	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
50Macomb	2,008	10.7 (45)	4.8 (4)	8,673	4.9 (22)	3.5 (23)	13.2 (32)	3,467	14.9 (9)	12.6 (13)	5,225	16.7 (41)
51DCS	616	6.3 (9)	8.8 (29)	3,017	4.5 (13)	3.5 (23)	11.1 (11)	1,267	18.3 (40)	13.7 (22)	1,744	10.0 (24)
52Marquett	226	6.6 (12)	7.5 (20)	1,186	5.1 (27)	3.1 (16)	14.5 (42)	537	17.7 (33)	16.0 (42)	585	12.6 (32)
56MMCAA	359	8.4 (30)	8.9 (32)	1,766	7.7 (48)	2.5 (7)	16.3 (46)	842	15.4 (10)	14.5 (33)	962	8.6 (18)
58Monroe	596	9.2 (37)	7.6 (22)	3,123	7.1 (47)	2.3 (4)	12.0 (19)	1,371	17.9 (35)	13.3 (19)	1,858	7.7 (17)
61Muskegon	1,192	8.4 (30)	6.9 (12)	6,316	3.5 (3)	3.9 (32)	10.9 (9)	3,082	16.8 (24)	11.4 (5)	3,849	22.4 (46)
63Oakland	3,039	9.5 (39)	7.1 (15)	14,787	5.6 (35)	3.0 (15)	11.0 (10)	6,280	15.5 (11)	13.2 (16)	8,715	12.6 (32)
73Saginaw	801	9.6 (40)	8.6 (26)	4,266	4.2 (8)	4.0 (36)	12.6 (23)	2,016	16.4 (17)	14.3 (28)	2,677	11.8 (29)
74St Clair	643	9.8 (42)	7.0 (13)	3,567	6.2 (46)	2.6 (8)	10.2 (3)	1,636	14.6 (5)	10.1 (3)	2,145	6.4 (8)
76Sanilac	197	6.6 (12)	5.6 (7)	1,062	3.4 (2)	5.5 (46)	6.4 (1)	474	10.8 (1)	8.2 (1)	678	3.2 (3)
78Shiawass	320	7.2 (15)	6.3 (11)	1,673	3.8 (4)	6.8 (49)	10.7 (7)	866	18.5 (43)	13.9 (26)	1,060	1.3 (1)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (4) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (5) Infants born during the reporting period included in the analysis.
- (6) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for length-for-age for children under 2 years of age and height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.
- (7) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for weight-for-length for children under 2 years of age and BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th < 95th percentile category identifies overweight children and >= 95th percentile category identifies obese children.
- (8) Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.
- * Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 11 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

	_	ı	Comparis	on of G	rowth ar	nd Ane	mia Indi	cators		(3,4)		
		Birthweight	(5)			Н	eight and V	Veight				
		Low	High		Short Stature (6)	Under- weight			≥ 2 Yrs Over- weight (7)		Low F	emia Hb/Hct 8)
Local Agency	Number	% <2500 g (Rank)	% >4000 g (Rank)	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% n <u>></u> 95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
81Washtena	1,008	9.1 (35)	4.8 (4)	5,063	4.8 (18)	3.6 (26)	11.6 (15)	2,222	16.7 (22)	11.7 (7)	3,022	21.9 (45)
82Wayne	3,459	9.2 (37)	7.4 (19)	14,310	5.8 (39)	2.8 (13)	11.5 (14)	5,318	16.5 (18)	12.4 (11)	7,693	18.3 (42)
89Urban L	2,009	10.5 (44)	6.0 (8)	10,060	4.8 (18)	6.6 (47)	13.3 (36)	4,669	14.8 (8)	12.7 (14)	6,381	39.0 (49)
90Detroit	5,734	12.3 (47)	4.6 (3)	29,028	5.6 (35)	5.0 (41)	11.8 (16)	12,887	13.1 (3)	11.7 (7)	16,571	20.3 (44)
Unknown Local Ag.	29	* *	* *	173	12.7 (49)	4.6 (39)	31.2 (49)	89	* *	* *	140	15.7 (38)
Michigan	44,244	9.0	7.0	231,205	5.2	3.7	12.4	106,019	16.3	13.3	137,121	15.6
Nation (Prior Year)	1,961,342	8.9	6.4	8,451,21	5 6.0	4.3	14.0	3,678,355	5 16.4	14.7	5,524,196	14.9



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽³⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁴⁾ Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁵⁾ Infants born during the reporting period included in the analysis.

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for length-for-age for children under 2 years of age and height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.

⁽⁷⁾ Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for weight-for-length for children under 2 years of age and BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th - < 95th percentile category identifies overweight children and >= 95th percentile category identifies obese children.

⁽⁸⁾ Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.

^{*} Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (3)

Page: Run Date:

(2)

3 / 26 / 11

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household (4.5)<2 Hours TV Smoking in Breastfeeding **Exclusive Breastfeeding** Viewing/Day Household (6) (7) (8) % % % % Number % % % **Local Agency** Number Number Number Number Number Number Ever Breastfed Breastfed Breastfed Breastfed Breastfed Αt Αt Αt Αt Least Least Least Least 6 12 3 6 Months Months Months Months (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) 01DHD#2 273 62.6 (20) 217 18.9 (21) 182 7.7 (31) 156 14.1 (20) 194 7.2 (20) 741 83.4 (35) 1,524 12.7 (48) 02LMAS 159 56.6 (32) 67 464 905 112 11.6 (43) 112 8.9 (23) 109 5.5 (32) 86.9 (26) 10.2 (43) 04DHD#4 322 242 227 198 235 957 1,833 56.2 (33) 17.4 (27) 10.6 (13) 19.2 (8) 6.0(26)87.7 (20) 8.9 (37) 05NWMCHA 486 74.1 (2) 384 34.9 (2) 316 13.0 (5) 268 30.2 (2) 339 14.2 (1) 1,439 90.8 (11) 2,803 8.4 (34) 847 06CMDHD 63.0 (17) 596 23.0 (9) 754 9.3 (21) 391 18.9 (9) 563 9.9 (10) 2,498 87.6 (21) 4,934 12.6 (47) 07West UP 259 69.9 (3) 193 31.6 (4) 132 16.7 (1) 143 21.7 (4) 185 11.9 (4) 777 92.1 (6) 1,495 3.2 (1) 538 262 393 3,034 08Barry 65.6 (11) 435 21.8 (16) 435 8.7 (25) 17.6 (12) 9.2(12)1,491 87.9 (19) 5.4 (14) 09Bay 513 58.9 (28) 379 15.0 (33) 352 6.5 (35) 302 13.2 (23) 359 3.1 (42) 1,321 92.7 (4) 2,723 8.0 (30) 376 10Gr Trav 78.2 (1) 233 264 145 32.4 (1) 214 960 91.5 (8) 1.932 4.0 (4) 37.3 (1) 16.7 (1) 10.3 (8) 791 542 393 528 4.024 11Berrien 47.9 (43) 15.9 (30) 577 7.8 (30) 12.0 (26) 5.5 (32) 1,911 78.5 (44) 10.5 (45) 877 12BHS 63.5 (16) 651 21.5 (17) 585 8.4 (27) 457 13.8 (21) 618 10.4 (7) 2.660 89.2 (13) 5.207 6.2 (19) 13Calhoun 805 49.1 (40) 565 14.9 (34) 523 5.7 (39) 450 8.0 (33)530 4.3 (36) 2.128 91.7 (7) 4.378 5.2 (11) 127 70 86 53 65 393 755 87.5 (22) 4.9 (9) 14Leelanau 69.3 (4)



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ TV Viewing and Smoking in Household data are not reported by all contributors.

⁽³⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽⁴⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁵⁾ Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.

Infants born during the reporting period included in the Ever Breastfed analysis. Infants who turned 6 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 6 Months analysis. Children who turned 12 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 12 Months analysis.

The analysis includes infants who were ever breastfed and who turned that age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit.

Children aged 2 years and older included in the analyses.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (3)

			Breastfe (f	eeding 6)				Exclu	isive B	reastfeedii (7)	ng	≤2 Hou Viewing (8)	g/Day	Smoki House	
Local Agency	Number	% Ever Breastfed (Rank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 6 Months (Rank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 12 Months (Rank)	Number	Brea A Le Mo	% stfed at ast anths	Number	% Breastfed At Least 6 Months (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
 15Intercar	2,267	68.5 (5)	1,536	24.6 (5)	1,919	11.0 (10)	998	21.6	(5)	1,379	10.1 (9)	7,113	87.5 (22)	13,613	4.8 (8)
17Chippewa	180	65.0 (13)	151	23.2 (8)	146	8.2 (29)	137	20.4	(6)	137	11.7 (5)	551	87.5 (22)	1,119	8.5 (35)
		. ,		. ,							. ,	-			
19Mid-Mich	670	65.7 (10)	518	24.3 (6)	466	9.7 (19)	350	18.0	(11)	486	9.9 (10)	1,904	88.2 (16)	3,886	7.4 (25)
21Delta	203	64.5 (14)	201	18.4 (23)	169	6.5 (35)	141	16.3	(15)	192	8.3 (16)	687	91.0 (10)	1,387	6.8 (23)
22Iron	167	64.1 (15)	129	23.3 (7)	138	13.0 (5)	81	*	*	120	9.2 (12)	491	88.4 (15)	968	6.4 (21)
25Genesee	2,210	45.2 (45)	1,668	12.8 (41)	1,289	5.1 (42)	1,305	7.5	(34)	1,612	3.6 (41)	4,746	79.3 (42)	10,880	7.3 (24)
30HDI	341	51.3 (37)	257	8.2 (46)	264	6.1 (38)	174	4.6	(36)	252	1.2 (45)	1,277	78.9 (43)	2,315	9.8 (42)
32Huron	175	48.0 (42)	105	18.1 (25)	75	* *	87	*	*	100	5.0 (34)	400	95.5 (2)	848	5.4 (14)
33Ingham	1,280	62.8 (18)	923	18.2 (24)	877	9.5 (20)	597	9.0	(29)	874	4.3 (36)	3,102	81.9 (39)	6,677	6.1 (18)
35Keweenaw	40	* *	29	* *	32	* *	26	*	*	27	* *	146	89.0 (14)	254	6.3 (20)
37Ionia	292	61.3 (24)	163	14.1 (37)	209	11.5 (9)	91	*	*	159	11.3 (6)	798	97.4 (1)	1,553	7.9 (29)
38Jackson	874	57.3 (30)	738	12.9 (40)	668	9.0 (22)	451	13.3	(22)	711	5.9 (30)	2,284	85.1 (30)	4,722	8.0 (30)
39Kalamazo	588	61.7 (23)	412	22.3 (12)	384	12.2 (8)	332	17.5	(13)	398	9.0 (14)	1,461	85.1 (30)	3,009	6.5 (22)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) TV Viewing and Smoking in Household data are not reported by all contributors.
- (3) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (4) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (5) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (6) Infants born during the reporting period included in the Ever Breastfed analysis. Infants who turned 6 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 6 Months analysis. Children who turned 12 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 12 Months analysis.
- (7) The analysis includes infants who were ever breastfed and who turned that age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit.
- Children aged 2 years and older included in the analyses.
- Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date:

(2)

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (3)

Page: Run Date:

(2)

		Comp	arison	of Breas	stfeedi	ng, TV Vi	ewing	ı, and	l Sm	oking i	in Housel	nold (4,5	5)		
			Breastfe	eeding 6)				Exclu	sive B	reastfeed (7)	ing	≤2 Hou Viewin		Smoking in Household	
Local Agency	Number	% Ever Breastfed (Rank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 6 Months (Rank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 12 Months (Rank)	Number	Breas A Lea 3 Mor (Ra	stfed t ast s oths	Number	Breastfed At Least 6 Months (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
40Kal Fam	601	60.9 (26)	398	21.9 (15)	346	8.7 (25)	377	14.3	(19)	364	7.7 (18)	1,732	67.1 (48)	3,424	8.3 (32)
41Kent	3,196	62.4 (21)	2,684	21.0 (18)	2,304	10.8 (11)	1,890	12.2	(24)	2,453	7.9 (17)	9,637	85.5 (29)	19,129	4.0 (4)
43DHD#10	1,351	68.5 (5)	921	23.0 (9)	1,063	9.8 (18)	666	18.9	(9)	887	7.2 (20)	4,208	87.1 (25)	8,178	9.1 (38)
44Lapeer	332	61.1 (25)	281	17.1 (28)	290	10.7 (12)	197	12.2	(24)	270	6.7 (23)	855	93.8 (3)	1,826	4.9 (9)
45Tuscola	257	55.6 (34)	148	14.9 (34)	186	6.5 (35)	67	*	*	143	7.0 (22)	794	84.1 (33)	1,518	7.6 (27)
46CAA	430	66.3 (9)	356	22.2 (13)	310	10.6 (13)	242	14.9	(16)	335	7.5 (19)	931	76.2 (45)	1,978	5.3 (12)
47Livingst	385	65.5 (12)	145	20.7 (19)	261	10.0 (17)	73	*	*	139	6.5 (24)	833	91.1 (9)	1,770	5.3 (12)
50Macomb	2,007	46.3 (44)	1,204	14.5 (36)	1,454	6.8 (34)	1,003	8.4	(30)	1,123	5.8 (31)	3,632	80.3 (41)	8,965	5.6 (17)
51DCS	625	61.9 (22)	477	17.6 (26)	498	12.7 (7)	349	8.3	(31)	452	4.6 (35)	1,308	85.1 (30)	3,042	3.5 (2)
52Marquett	226	62.8 (18)	171	22.2 (13)	198	15.7 (3)	121	22.3	(3)	166	12.7 (3)	579	89.8 (12)	1,240	4.1 (6)
56MMCAA	359	68.5 (5)	137	22.6 (11)	251	10.4 (15)	51	*	*	133	9.0 (14)	893	88.0 (18)	1,784	4.7 (7)
58Monroe	596	58.7 (29)	495	13.3 (39)	472	8.3 (28)	387	14.7	(17)	482	6.0 (26)	1,431	82.8 (36)	3,155	7.5 (26)
61Muskegon	1,193	49.7 (39)	711	13.6 (38)	891	5.3 (40)	556	14.7	(17)	657	4.1 (39)	3,184	86.9 (26)	6,422	7.6 (27)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) TV Viewing and Smoking in Household data are not reported by all contributors.
- (3) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (4) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (5) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (6) Infants born during the reporting period included in the Ever Breastfed analysis. Infants who turned 6 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 6 Months analysis. Children who turned 12 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 12 Months analysis.
- (7) The analysis includes infants who were ever breastfed and who turned that age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit.
- Children aged 2 years and older included in the analyses.
- Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (3)

Page: Run Date:

(2)

3 / 26 / 11

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household (4.5)<2 Hours TV Smoking in Breastfeeding **Exclusive Breastfeeding** Viewing/Day Household (6) (7) (8) % % % % Number % % % **Local Agency** Number Number Number Number Number Number Ever Breastfed Breastfed Breastfed Breastfed Breastfed Αt Αt Αt Αt Least Least Least Least 6 12 3 6 Months Months Months Months (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) 63Oakland 3,068 53.6 (35) 2,218 19.8 (20) 1,639 8.9 (23) 1,797 11.2 (27) 2,134 6.0(26)6,557 82.8 (36) 14,960 3.5 (2) 802 361 417 2,125 4,276 73Saginaw 48.5 (41) 450 15.8 (31) 464 5.0 (43) 10.2 (28) 6.5(24)81.3 (40) 8.5 (35) 641 574 362 548 3,612 74St Clair 50.4 (38) 12.4 (42) 502 7.4 (32) 8.3 (31)4.2(38)1,707 88.2 (16) 10.2 (43) 479 76Sanilac 197 60.9 (26) 172 18.6 (22) 126 4.8 (44) 110 16.4 (14) 166 6.0(26)83.7 (34) 1,054 8.3 (32) 319 78Shiawass 56.7 (31) 125 15.2 (32) 227 10.1 (16) 56 121 0.8(46)915 92.6 (5) 1,713 11.3 (46) 81Washtena 1,011 67.0 (8) 756 31.7 (3) 634 15.1 (4) 569 19.7 (7) 711 13.8 (2) 2,320 86.2 (28) 5,081 9.3 (39) 3,592 5,609 82Wayne 52.8 (36) 1,770 17.1 (28) 2,084 7.1 (33) 1,299 7.2 (35)1,721 3.9 (40) 82.3 (38) 14,390 5.5 (16) 89Urban L 2,015 34.2 (47) 1,282 10.1 (45) 1,036 5.2 (41) 1,177 3.4 (38)1,211 2.2 (43) 4,876 71.3 (46) 10,302 9.3 (39) 29.519 90Detroit 5.834 4.186 3.472 4.8 (44) 3.202 4.0 (37)3.964 13.476 68.8 (47) 41.7 (46) 11.0 (44) 2.1 (44) 9.5 (41) 20 20 31 11 20 109 197 Unknown Local Ag. 54.1 (49) 20.3 (49) Michigan 44.728 54.9 31,130 17.8 29.900 8.4 22,987 11.6 29.396 6.0 110,890 82.5 234,313 7.0 Nation 1,022,933 77.3 1,769,160 61.7 911,779 27.0 1,092,573 18.5 317,037 9.9 420,655 5.3 4,355,723 10.2 (Prior Year)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- TV Viewing and Smoking in Household data are not reported by all contributors.
- (3) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (4) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (5) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- Infants born during the reporting period included in the Ever Breastfed analysis. Infants who turned 6 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 6 Months analysis. Children who turned 12 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 12 Months analysis.
- The analysis includes infants who were ever breastfed and who turned that age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit.
- Children aged 2 years and older included in the analyses.
- Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 16 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

			Comparis	on of G	rowth ar	nd Aner	nia Indi	cators		(3,4)		
		Birthweight	(5)									
		Low	High		Short Stature (6)	Under- weight (7)	Obese (7)		≥ 2 Yrs Over- weight ₍₇₎			emia Hb/Hct (8)
Local Agency	Number	% <2500 g (Rank)	% >4000 g (Rank)	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% <u>></u> 95th (Rank)	Number	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% <u>></u> 95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
01DHD#2	984	6.8 (7)	10.0 (40)	4,745	5.7 (24)	3.5 (25)	14.4 (41)	2,184	18.3 (41)	15.2 (39)	2,937	12.3 (29)
02LMAS	549	8.4 (27)	10.6 (45)	2,889	3.7 (2)	4.7 (41)	12.3 (20)	1,414	19.7 (48)	15.0 (37)	1,878	10.7 (23)
04DHD#4	1,171	7.6 (16)	8.7 (32)	5,922	5.2 (16)	3.5 (25)	13.6 (36)	2,894	16.0 (9)	16.8 (45)	3,776	9.2 (18)
05NWMCHA	1,624	8.6 (31)	9.5 (38)	8,827	5.3 (17)	3.4 (23)	12.9 (26)	4,417	17.4 (31)	13.1 (16)	6,038	4.7 (5)
06CMDHD	3,182	8.2 (21)	7.7 (23)	15,495	6.4 (40)	2.9 (15)	12.6 (24)	7,347	17.4 (31)	15.0 (37)	10,190	10.0 (20)
07West UP	966	6.3 (3)	9.7 (39)	4,682	6.6 (42)	2.7 (12)	11.2 (7)	2,281	16.8 (18)	11.6 (4)	2,688	4.3 (4)
08Barry	2,004	6.8 (7)	8.2 (29)	9,117	5.0 (13)	3.9 (32)	10.8 (5)	4,071	17.6 (36)	12.2 (7)	5,272	4.9 (7)
09Bay	1,783	8.3 (24)	10.3 (42)	8,365	6.5 (41)	2.5 (7)	12.2 (18)	3,843	17.0 (24)	14.0 (26)	5,506	14.1 (36)
10Gr Trav	1,341	7.3 (13)	10.1 (41)	6,249	5.5 (19)	5.7 (47)	11.6 (12)	2,998	16.5 (13)	12.5 (9)	3,750	9.6 (19)
11Berrien	2,817	8.6 (31)	6.7 (11)	12,417	6.2 (35)	2.2 (3)	12.4 (22)	5,566	17.0 (24)	13.0 (13)	7,899	14.9 (37)
12BHS	3,241	6.6 (4)	7.8 (24)	16,463	6.2 (35)	3.6 (28)	14.6 (44)	7,882	18.9 (45)	17.0 (46)	10,565	8.2 (14)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (4) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (5) Infants born during the reporting period included in the analysis.
- (6) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for length-for-age for children under 2 years of age and height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.
- (7) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for weight-for-length for children under 2 years of age and BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th < 95th percentile category identifies overweight children and >= 95th percentile category identifies obese children.
- (8) Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.
- * Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators by Local Agency
Children Aged < 5 Years (2)

Page: 17 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

	Comparison of Growth and Anemia Indicators (3,4)													
		Birthweight	(5)											
		Low	High		Short Stature (6)	Under- weight			≥ 2 Yrs Over- weight (7)		Low	nemia Hb/Hct (8)		
Local Agency	Number	% <2500 g (Rank)	% >4000 g (Rank)	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% <u>></u> 95th (Rank)	Number	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% <u>></u> 95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)		
13Calhoun	2,980	8.4 (27)	7.3 (20)	13,367	6.7 (43)	3.2 (20)	14.5 (42)	5,949	17.7 (38)	15.2 (39)	7,902	15.5 (39)		
14Leelanau	429	8.4 (27)	12.4 (48)	2,307	4.7 (7)	4.4 (37)	14.5 (42)	1,170	17.0 (24)	15.3 (41)	1,546	6.6 (10)		
15Intercar	8,454	7.6 (16)	9.0 (35)	42,819	4.8 (9)	3.7 (30)	13.4 (32)	20,830	16.5 (13)	13.6 (21)	27,660	22.2 (45)		
17Chippewa	686	6.1 (2)	11.7 (47)	3,379	5.5 (19)	3.2 (20)	13.9 (39)	1,583	16.5 (13)	14.7 (33)	2,237	8.2 (14)		
19Mid-Mich	2,464	7.1 (12)	7.9 (25)	12,302	6.3 (37)	2.9 (15)	13.4 (32)	5,787	16.8 (18)	14.0 (26)	7,874	5.5 (9)		
21Delta	891	6.7 (5)	9.0 (35)	4,387	5.8 (26)	2.5 (7)	11.9 (15)	2,067	17.4 (31)	13.8 (25)	2,863	2.2 (1)		
22Iron	669	7.0 (10)	9.1 (37)	3,126	5.6 (22)	2.2 (3)	13.1 (27)	1,513	17.3 (29)	14.1 (28)	2,028	4.8 (6)		
25Genesee	8,337	10.9 (44)	5.2 (5)	34,529	7.1 (44)	3.9 (32)	13.6 (36)	14,491	15.9 (8)	13.4 (19)	21,460	20.2 (44)		
30HDI	1,404	11.8 (47)	3.9 (1)	7,314	6.1 (33)	2.0 (1)	18.5 (47)	3,875	19.3 (47)	17.2 (47)	4,961	13.7 (35)		
32Huron	579	6.9 (9)	10.5 (44)	2,738	4.4 (3)	4.2 (35)	11.7 (13)	1,304	17.3 (29)	12.0 (6)	1,592	10.2 (21)		
33Ingham	4,156	8.3 (24)	7.2 (18)	20,012	5.5 (19)	3.2 (20)	13.2 (29)	8,930	16.9 (23)	14.3 (30)	12,198	12.0 (27)		

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (4) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (5) Infants born during the reporting period included in the analysis.
- (6) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for length-for-age for children under 2 years of age and height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.
- (7) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for weight-for-length for children under 2 years of age and BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th < 95th percentile category identifies overweight children and >= 95th percentile category identifies obese children.
- (8) Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.
- * Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 18 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

			Comparis	on of G	rowth ar	nd Aner	nia Indi	cators		(3,4)		
		Birthweight	(5)									
		Low	High		Short Stature (6)	Under- weight (7)	Obese (7)		≥ 2 Yrs Over- weight (7)		Low	nemia Hb/Hct (8)
Local Agency	Number	% <2500 g (Rank)	% >4000 g (Rank)	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
35Keweenaw	151	5.3 (1)	11.3 (46)	784	4.7 (7)	2.7 (12)	23.6 (48)	398	18.6 (42)	23.6 (48)	514	12.8 (31)
37Ionia	1,121	6.7 (5)	5.9 (7)	5,162	5.9 (28)	3.9 (32)	11.4 (9)	2,415	21.3 (49)	12.7 (10)	3,541	11.3 (24)
38Jackson	3,281	8.3 (24)	8.1 (28)	14,405	4.9 (11)	5.1 (44)	10.4 (3)	6,330	17.0 (24)	13.2 (17)	6,300	10.4 (22)
39Kalamazo	2,200	8.5 (30)	8.4 (31)	9,908	4.8 (9)	4.9 (42)	13.3 (30)	4,510	17.1 (28)	13.0 (13)	6,107	18.6 (40)
40Kal Fam	2,061	11.6 (46)	5.0 (4)	9,910	6.0 (31)	4.9 (42)	14.6 (44)	4,699	16.7 (17)	15.5 (42)	6,616	29.2 (47)
41Kent	11,861	8.6 (31)	7.9 (25)	59,348	6.3 (37)	2.3 (5)	13.9 (39)	28,037	16.8 (18)	14.9 (36)	38,991	13.1 (34)
43DHD#10	5,268	7.7 (19)	8.9 (34)	25,678	5.7 (24)	2.5 (7)	12.3 (20)	12,377	18.8 (44)	14.6 (32)	17,046	12.5 (30)
44Lapeer	1,300	9.1 (37)	8.8 (33)	5,771	8.6 (48)	3.4 (23)	12.8 (25)	2,549	17.4 (31)	14.2 (29)	3,385	11.9 (25)
45Tuscola	963	8.2 (21)	7.1 (16)	4,703	5.9 (28)	3.7 (30)	9.4 (2)	2,258	14.6 (4)	10.4 (2)	3,167	7.2 (11)
46CAA	1,458	8.6 (31)	6.9 (14)	6,490	7.9 (47)	4.5 (39)	13.4 (32)	2,778	18.1 (39)	15.7 (43)	3,535	7.7 (13)
47Livingst	1,304	7.3 (13)	10.4 (43)	5,471	5.0 (13)	3.6 (28)	10.4 (3)	2,372	18.7 (43)	14.8 (35)	3,483	5.0 (8)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (4) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (5) Infants born during the reporting period included in the analysis.
- (6) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for length-for-age for children under 2 years of age and height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.
- (7) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for weight-for-length for children under 2 years of age and BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th < 95th percentile category identifies overweight children and >= 95th percentile category identifies obese children.
- (8) Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.
- * Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 19 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

			Comparis	on of G	rowth ar	nd Aner	nia Indi	cators		(3,4)		
		Birthweight	(5)									
		Low	High		Short Stature (6)	Under- weight (7)	Obese (7)		≥ 2 Yrs Over- weight ₍₇₎		Low	nemia Hb/Hct (8)
Local Agency	Number	% <2500 g (Rank)	% >4000 g (Rank)	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
50Macomb	7,387	9.8 (42)	5.9 (7)	26,423	6.0 (31)	2.7 (12)	13.6 (36)	9,306	15.2 (6)	12.8 (11)	16,022	18.8 (41)
51DCS	2,052	7.9 (20)	7.2 (18)	8,185	5.3 (17)	2.6 (10)	11.4 (9)	3,380	17.6 (36)	13.3 (18)	4,969	11.9 (25)
52Marquett	911	7.5 (15)	8.3 (30)	4,042	4.6 (5)	2.0 (1)	13.3 (30)	1,852	19.2 (46)	13.7 (22)	2,338	8.8 (16)
56MMCAA	1,232	8.8 (35)	8.0 (27)	5,352	7.8 (46)	2.6 (10)	17.0 (46)	2,447	16.6 (16)	14.5 (31)	3,052	7.2 (11)
58Monroe	2,187	8.2 (21)	7.4 (21)	9,062	7.3 (45)	2.4 (6)	12.4 (22)	3,664	17.4 (31)	13.7 (22)	5,363	9.0 (17)
61Muskegon	4,567	9.1 (37)	6.6 (9)	20,280	4.6 (5)	4.4 (37)	11.4 (9)	9,572	16.0 (9)	12.3 (8)	12,834	19.9 (43)
63Oakland	10,621	9.6 (41)	7.0 (15)	45,367	5.6 (22)	3.0 (17)	11.3 (8)	19,199	16.3 (11)	13.7 (22)	28,814	13.0 (32)
73Saginaw	3,064	9.4 (39)	7.4 (21)	13,460	4.9 (11)	3.5 (25)	13.1 (27)	6,496	18.2 (40)	14.7 (33)	9,505	13.0 (32)
74St Clair	2,561	8.9 (36)	6.6 (9)	11,459	5.9 (28)	4.5 (39)	10.9 (6)	5,132	14.7 (5)	11.4 (3)	7,617	12.1 (28)
76Sanilac	722	7.6 (16)	4.8 (3)	3,370	3.6 (1)	5.5 (46)	7.1 (1)	1,548	11.3 (1)	8.6 (1)	2,272	3.5 (2)
78Shiawass	1,201	7.0 (10)	6.8 (12)	5,709	4.4 (3)	6.2 (48)	12.2 (18)	2,769	16.8 (18)	16.0 (44)	3,857	4.1 (3)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (4) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (5) Infants born during the reporting period included in the analysis.
- (6) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for length-for-age for children under 2 years of age and height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.
- (7) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for weight-for-length for children under 2 years of age and BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th < 95th percentile category identifies overweight children and >= 95th percentile category identifies obese children.
- (8) Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.
- * Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 20 Run Date: 3 / 26 / 11

	_	(Comparis	on of G	rowth ar	nd Aneı	mia Indi	cators		(3,4)		
Local Agency		Birthweight	(5)			A						
		Low	High		Short Stature (6)	Under- weight			> 2 Yrs Over- weight (7)		Low	emia Hb/Hct (8)
	Number	% <2500 g (Rank)	% >4000 g (Rank)	Number	% <5th (Rank)	% <5th (Rank)	% <u>></u> 95th (Rank)	Number	% 85th- <95th (Rank)	% n ≥95th (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
81Washtena	3,536	9.9 (43)	6.8 (12)	15,592	5.0 (13)	4.2 (35)	11.8 (14)	6,591	16.3 (11)	12.8 (11)	9,530	23.0 (46)
82Wayne	12,181	9.5 (40)	7.1 (16)	45,515	6.1 (33)	3.0 (17)	12.1 (17)	16,436	16.8 (18)	13.5 (20)	25,584	15.0 (38)
89Urban L	6,640	11.1 (45)	5.6 (6)	29,446	5.8 (26)	6.7 (49)	13.4 (32)	13,603	15.2 (6)	13.0 (13)	16,327	37.5 (48)
90Detroit	22,018	12.5 (48)	4.6 (2)	94,264	6.3 (37)	5.1 (44)	12.0 (16)	40,789	13.9 (3)	11.7 (5)	52,893	19.1 (42)
90Detroit	2	* *	* *	16	* *	* *	* *	8	* *	* *	16	* *
Unknown Local Ag.	62	* *	* *	389	11.3 (49)	3.1 (19)	27.2 (49)	120	12.5 (2)	33.3 (49)	564	51.1 (49)
Michigan	162,623	9.3	7.0	727,012	5.9	3.7	12.7	324,031	16.5	13.6	447,062	15.4

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (3) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (4) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (5) Infants born during the reporting period included in the analysis.
- (6) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for length-for-age for children under 2 years of age and height-for-age for children 2 years of age and older.
- (7) Based on 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles for weight-for-length for children under 2 years of age and BMI-for-age for children 2 years of age and older. 85th < 95th percentile category identifies overweight children and >= 95th percentile category identifies obese children.
- (8) Based on 1998 CDC MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States", altitude adjusted, children 6 months of age and older included in the analysis.
- * Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Michigan

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (3)

		Comp	arison	of Breas	stfeedi	ng, TV Vi	iewing	j, and	d Sm	oking i	in Housel	hold (4,	5)		
		Breastfeeding (6)								reastfeed (7)	ing	≤2 Hours TV Viewing/Day (8)		Smok Hous	
Local Agency	Number	% Ever Breastfed (Rank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 6 Months (Rank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 12 Months (Rank)	Numbe	Brea A Le (% stfed at ast 3 nths	Number	Breastfed At Least 6 Months (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
01DHD#2	1,462	55.5 (31)	1,156	14.6 (33)	898	7.7 (34)	737	16.0	(25)	715	4.1 (40)	1,456	83.4 (35)	1,944	11.8 (47)
02LMAS	825	57.0 (28)	677	17.0 (26)	585	10.1 (21)	438	20.1	(13)	458	9.2 (10)	926	87.9 (19)	1,158	10.7 (44)
04DHD#4	1,659	53.0 (33)	1,394	19.8 (15)	1,172	12.1 (7)	927	17.8	(20)	899	6.5 (25)	1,893	89.4 (14)	2,344	9.3 (34)
05NWMCHA	2,382	71.5 (2)	1,992	24.4 (6)	1,696	13.4 (6)	1,245	28.0	(2)	1,238	11.1 (4)	2,865	90.3 (9)	3,561	8.9 (31)
06CMDHD	4,587	61.5 (17)	3,773	18.2 (22)	3,244	9.2 (23)	2,391	19.1	(17)	2,449	7.8 (18)	5,290	86.7 (23)	6,707	13.4 (48)
07West UP	1,402	67.9 (5)	1,110	29.5 (2)	813	15.4 (4)	792	26.5	(3)	780	11.0 (6)	1,524	92.8 (3)	1,881	4.1 (2)
08Barry	2,561	63.8 (11)	2,210	19.5 (16)	1,710	9.1 (26)	1,735	17.6	(21)	1,778	6.6 (22)	3,284	86.8 (21)	4,297	5.7 (12)
09Bay	2,490	55.9 (29)	2,010	13.8 (39)	1,590	6.4 (41)	1,321	13.1	(34)	1,268	3.6 (42)	2,606	91.3 (6)	3,476	9.2 (33)
10Gr Trav	1,854	80.2 (1)	1,482	32.8 (1)	1,243	20.1 (1)	922	30.6	(1)	966	11.7 (2)	1,894	89.9 (11)	2,566	4.5 (3)
11Berrien	3,891	48.4 (41)	3,263	14.1 (37)	2,674	8.8 (29)	2,141	12.9	(36)	2,197	5.6 (30)	4,071	77.3 (45)	5,719	11.0 (46)
12BHS	4,536	61.7 (16)	3,781	18.4 (21)	3,105	9.2 (23)	2,751	17.4	(22)	2,770	9.1 (11)	5,831	86.3 (25)	7,346	7.6 (23)
13Calhoun	3,739	51.1 (37)	2,976	11.9 (45)	2,340	5.2 (45)	2,421	8.6	(45)	2,378	3.5 (43)	4,723	89.6 (13)	6,191	6.5 (19)
14Leelanau	618	71.2 (3)	490	24.9 (5)	422	15.2 (5)	318	24.8	(5)	312	11.2 (3)	773	87.7 (20)	951	5.5 (10)

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date:

(2)

⁽²⁾ TV Viewing and Smoking in Household data are not reported by all contributors.

⁽³⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽⁴⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁵⁾ Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁶⁾ Infants born during the reporting period included in the Ever Breastfed analysis. Infants who turned 6 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 6 Months analysis. Children who turned 12 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 12 Months analysis.

⁽⁷⁾ The analysis includes infants who were ever breastfed and who turned that age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit.

Children aged 2 years and older included in the analyses.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Michigan

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (3) (2)

Page:

Run Date:

3 / 26 / 11

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household (4.5)<2 Hours TV Smoking in Breastfeeding **Exclusive Breastfeeding** Viewing/Day Household (6) (7) (8) % % % % Number % % % **Local Agency** Number Number Number Number Number Number Ever Breastfed Breastfed Breastfed Breastfed Breastfed Αt Αt Αt Αt Least Least Least Least 6 12 3 6 Months Months Months Months (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) 15Intercar 12,018 64.5 (10) 9,617 22.0 (9) 8,541 10.7 (12) 6,547 21.1 (9) 6,584 9.1 (11) 15,429 86.3 (25) 19,472 5.1 (7) 977 776 526 496 1,035 17Chippewa 61.0 (18) 22.4 (8) 640 11.3 (9) 22.1 (8) 9.5 (9) 88.5 (18) 1,344 8.8 (28) 2,391 2,045 2,097 4,084 5,339 19Mid-Mich 3,656 62.4 (13) 3,096 20.7 (10) 10.7 (12) 20.7 (11) 8.8 (14) 88.6 (16) 7.4 (22) 8.0 (33) 21Delta 1,304 59.0 (22) 1,066 17.0 (26) 892 702 13.5 (31) 676 5.6 (30) 1,330 91.4 (5) 1,758 6.8 (20) 931 944 22Iron 62.0 (14) 742 22.6 (7) 630 10.5 (15) 514 24.9 (4) 533 8.8 (14) 89.7 (12) 1,217 6.2 (17) 25Genesee 11,795 43.2 (46) 9,127 9.4 (48) 6,646 4.4 (46) 6,245 8.8 (44)6,078 2.9 (45) 9,699 80.3 (43) 14,780 7.1 (21) 30HDI 1,970 2,576 2,935 47.0 (44) 1,613 8.9 (49) 1,335 5.8 (44) 1,129 5.2 (47)1,126 2.1 (47) 77.8 (44) 9.6 (37) 32Huron 821 52.6 (36) 650 14.2 (35) 473 7.0 (37) 477 16.6 (23)463 5.8 (28) 851 93.9 (2) 1,141 7.6 (23) 5.473 62.6 (12) 4.834 20.1 (14) 3.754 11.4 (8) 3.773 11.4 (38)3.814 5.2 (33) 6.901 80.9 (41) 9.780 33Ingham 5.9 (15) 210 50.0 (39) 279 35Keweenaw 186 12.4 (44) 188 4.3 (47) 131 19.8 (16) 137 8.0 (17) 89.2 (15) 313 6.4 (18) 37Ionia 20.0 (14) 96.7 (1) 1.578 52.7 (35) 1.243 14.8 (30) 1.060 9.0 (28) 796 823 11.1 (4) 1.719 2.253 8.8 (28) 38Jackson 4.161 55.8 (30) 3.593 14.8 (30) 2.857 6.6 (40) 2.846 13.4 (32) 2.943 5.3 (32) 5.038 83.7 (33) 6.671 8.8 (28) 3.104 58.2 (26) 2.510 20.5 (11) 2.013 1.794 19.0 (18) 1.735 10.0 (8) 3.148 84.1 (30) 4.315 39Kalamazo 10.8 (11) 5.7 (12)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) TV Viewing and Smoking in Household data are not reported by all contributors.
- (3) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (4) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (5) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- 6) Infants born during the reporting period included in the Ever Breastfed analysis. Infants who turned 6 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 6 Months analysis. Children who turned 12 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 12 Months analysis.
- 7) The analysis includes infants who were ever breastfed and who turned that age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit.
- 8) Children aged 2 years and older included in the analyses.
- Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Michigan

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (3)

		Comp	arison	of Breas	stfeedi	ng, TV Vi	ewing	, and	d Sm	oking i	n Housel	nold (4,5	5)		
		Breastfeeding (6)								reastfeedi (7)	ng	<2 Hours TV Viewing/Day (8)		Smok Hous	ing in ehold
Local Agency	Number	% Ever Breastfed (Rank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 6 Months (Rank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 12 Months (Rank)	Number	Brea A Le Mo	% astfed ast ast anths ank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 6 Months (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
40Kal Fam	2,904	58.1 (27)	2,291	16.8 (28)	1,856	9.2 (23)	1,587	15.6		1,480	6.6 (22)	3,527	64.8 (48)	4,415	10.1 (42)
41Kent	16,615	61.8 (15)	14,448	20.4 (12)	11,415	11.0 (10)	9,677	12.2		9,636	6.8 (21)	20,625	85.5 (27)	26,141	4.5 (3)
43DHD#10	7,476	64.6 (9)	5,840	20.2 (13)	5,072	. ,	3,960		(15)	3,954	8.4 (16)	8,418	86.8 (21)	10,557	9.7 (39)
44Lapeer	1,874	58.8 (24)	1,421	17.5 (25)	1,102	10.6 (14)	1,064	15.9	(26)	1,058	6.2 (26)	1,746	91.9 (4)	2,453	7.9 (25)
45Tuscola	1,387	59.8 (19)	1,082	16.1 (29)	910	8.6 (31)	639	18.3	(19)	669	7.5 (19)	1,523	83.9 (32)	1,891	8.4 (26)
46CAA	2,212	59.2 (20)	1,764	19.1 (18)	1,384	8.2 (32)	1,295	14.4	(29)	1,266	4.7 (36)	1,977	81.1 (40)	2,903	5.4 (9)
47Livingst	1,885	65.1 (7)	1,223	19.5 (16)	1,154	10.3 (20)	864	20.5	(12)	885	7.0 (20)	1,685	90.0 (10)	2,530	5.5 (10)
50Macomb	10,226	47.8 (43)	7,308	14.2 (35)	6,128	6.9 (38)	5,280	11.3	(39)	4,965	4.9 (35)	6,763	80.7 (42)	11,784	5.7 (12)
51DCS	3,009	59.1 (21)	2,296	18.5 (20)	1,750	10.4 (18)	1,778	13.1	(34)	1,718	5.2 (33)	2,623	84.3 (29)	4,132	4.6 (5)
52Marquett	1,279	64.9 (8)	1,031	25.2 (4)	866	16.2 (3)	723	23.4	(7)	737	10.3 (7)	1,206	90.5 (8)	1,641	4.7 (6)
56MMCAA	1,717	68.2 (4)	1,273	17.6 (24)	1,130	10.4 (18)	822	23.6	(6)	879	9.0 (13)	1,741	88.6 (16)	2,324	5.1 (7)
58Monroe	3,209	54.8 (32)	2,737	14.4 (34)	2,059	7.3 (36)	1,914	14.0	(30)	1,886	6.1 (27)	2,938	82.6 (36)	4,330	9.4 (35)
61Muskegon	6,115	48.6 (40)	4,546	13.2 (42)	4,256	6.3 (42)	3,287	13.3	(33)	3,231	4.1 (40)	6,794	84.9 (28)	8,890	8.7 (27)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) TV Viewing and Smoking in Household data are not reported by all contributors.
- (3) Analyses based on one record per child.
- (4) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (5) Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (6) Infants born during the reporting period included in the Ever Breastfed analysis. Infants who turned 6 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 6 Months analysis. Children who turned 12 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 12 Months analysis.
- (7) The analysis includes infants who were ever breastfed and who turned that age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit.
- Children aged 2 years and older included in the analyses.
- Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date:

(2)

Michigan

Comparison of Breastfeeding, TV Viewing, and Smoking in Household by Local Agency Children Aged < 5 Years (3)

Page: Run Date:

(2)

		Breastfeeding (6)								reastfeedii (7)	ng	≤2 Hours TV Viewing/Day (8)		Smoking in Household	
Local Agency	Number	% Ever Breastfed (Rank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 6 Months (Rank)	Number	% Breastfed At Least 12 Months (Rank)	Number	Brea Le Mo	% stfed at ast 3 nths	Number	% Breastfed At Least 6 Months (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
63Oakland	15,276	51.0 (38)	12,047	18.2 (22)	8,521	9.4 (22)	8,829	14.6	(28)	8,518	6.6 (22)	13,241	82.6 (36)	19,885	4.0 (1)
73Saginaw	4,225	47.0 (44)	2,765	10.6 (47)	2,456	4.3 (47)	1,960	9.0	(43)	1,879	4.6 (37)	4,331	81.8 (38)	5,748	9.1 (32)
74St Clair	3,603	48.2 (42)	2,981	11.7 (46)	2,392	5.9 (43)	1,898	10.2	(41)	1,962	4.5 (39)	3,483	86.7 (23)	4,744	10.4 (43)
76Sanilac	1,010	58.5 (25)	814	13.9 (38)	618	6.8 (39)	554	16.6	(23)	556	5.8 (28)	989	84.0 (31)	1,397	9.6 (37)
78Shiawass	1,678	58.9 (23)	1,365	14.7 (32)	1,189	8.7 (30)	811	10.7	(40)	859	2.8 (46)	1,926	91.1 (7)	2,477	10.0 (40)
81Washtena	5,314	65.9 (6)	4,197	28.0 (3)	3,104	16.6 (2)	2,823	21.0	(10)	2,713	12.6 (1)	4,861	83.6 (34)	7,149	9.5 (36)
82Wayne	17,825	52.8 (34)	11,451	18.8 (19)	10,112	10.5 (15)	8,529	9.9	(42)	8,437	4.6 (37)	11,227	81.3 (39)	20,349	5.9 (15)
89Urban L	9,454	32.7 (48)	7,041	13.4 (41)	5,359	9.1 (26)	5,318	4.6	(48)	4,971	2.1 (47)	9,842	70.0 (46)	13,430	10.7 (44)
90Detroit	31,357	40.9 (47)	22,995	12.9 (43)	18,506	7.4 (35)	17,311	6.9	(46)	16,989	3.1 (44)	28,361	69.2 (47)	41,293	10.0 (40)
90Detroit	3	* *	2	* *	3	* *	1	*	*	1	* *	1	* *	2	* *
Unknown Local Ag.	369	16.3 (49)	147	13.6 (40)	66	* *	98	*	*	86	* *	153	50.3 (49)	219	21.9 (49)
Michigan	230,026	53.4	178,432	2 17.0	144,320	9.1	126,686	3 13	3	125,048	5.8	230,150	82.0	320,143	7.5

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ TV Viewing and Smoking in Household data are not reported by all contributors.

⁽³⁾ Analyses based on one record per child.

⁽⁴⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽⁵⁾ Rank compares this local agency's rate to other local agencies. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁶⁾ Infants born during the reporting period included in the Ever Breastfed analysis. Infants who turned 6 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 6 Months analysis. Children who turned 12 months of age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit included in the Breastfed At Least 12 Months analysis.

⁽⁷⁾ The analysis includes infants who were ever breastfed and who turned that age during the reporting period by/on their date of visit.

Children aged 2 years and older included in the analyses.

Percentages and ranks are not calculated if < 100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.